

Appln. No.: 09/316,795

Amdt. Dated April 14, 2004

Reply to Office Action dated March 9, 2004

Remarks/Arguments

Reconsideration of this Application is requested.

Claims 1 and 6-22 have been rejected by the Examiner under 35 USC §112 for failing to comply with the written description requirements.

Claim 1 and those claims dependent thereon have been amended to comply with the written description requirement. Support for the amendment appears in lines 8-13 of page 7 of Applicants' specification.

Claims 16-20 have been rejected by the Examiner under 35 USC §112 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention.

Claims 16-20 have been amended by deleting the term "may" and substituting the term "will" in order to remove any indefiniteness.

In view of the above, claims 1 and 6-22, as amended, are patentable. If the Examiner has any questions, would he please telephone the undersigned at the telephone number noted below.

Respectfully submitted,



Ronald Reichman
Reg. No. 26,796
Attorney of Record
Telephone (203) 924-3854

PITNEY BOWES INC.
Intellectual Property and
Technology Law Department
35 Waterview Drive
P.O. Box 3000
Shelton, CT 06484-8000